

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE GUIDANCE

1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 This document provides the questions that will be evaluated as part of this procurement and an overview of the methodology which will be adopted by the Authority and its Agent to evaluate Potential Provider responses to each question. It also sets out the marking scheme which will apply.
- 1.2 The following information has been provided in relation to each question (where applicable);
- 1.2.1 Weighting – highlights the relative importance of the question
- 1.2.2 Guidance – sets out information for the Potential Providers to consider
- 1.2.3 Marking Scheme – details the marks available to evaluators during evaluation
- 1.3 The defined terms used in the ITT document shall apply to this document.

2 DOCUMENT COMPLETION

- 2.1 You must not submit any additional information with your Tender other than that specifically requested in this document or Appendix A – Service Description and Appendix B – Response Guidance and Appendix C – Pricing Schedule.
- 2.2 **Note:** Please submit your tender response as attachments there should be only three attachments, as follows: -
- Responses to all **Commercial (1, 2, & 3)** questions must be submitted as a **single PDF** document, to be attached at the quality evaluation response in the AWARD e-Portal;
 - Responses to **Quality (4, 5 & 6)** questions must be submitted as a **single PDF** document, to be attached at the quality evaluation response in the AWARD e-Portal;
 - Responses to **Price (7)** questions must only be submitted as a **single PDF** and/ or EXCEL [Appendix C – Pricing Scheule], to be attached at the **price schedule response only** in the AWARD e-Portal.

OFFICIAL
Appendix B Response Guidance
TET10022
Safety Helmet Assessment and Rating Programme (SHARP):
Helmet Performance Testing – Phase 4

Any submitted tender responses which are not separated in the above manner may be rejected.

Any Tender response left at “Draft Bid” status on the AWARD e-portal at the Tender receipt cut off time may be deemed a non-compliant bid and may NOT be assessed further.

3 RESPONSE GUIDANCE

3.1 Quality Evaluation Process

3.1.1 Each response to questions within the Quality/Service Delivery Questionnaire(s) will be given one of the scores in accordance with the table below:

Mark	Comment
0	Failed to provide confidence that the proposal will meet the requirements. An unacceptable response with serious reservations.
25	A Poor response with reservations. The response lacks convincing detail with risk that the proposal will not be successful in meeting all the requirements.
50	Meets the requirements – the response generally meets the requirements, but lacks sufficient detail to warrant a higher mark.
75	A Good response that meets the requirements with good supporting evidence. Demonstrates good understanding.
100	An Excellent comprehensive response that meets the requirements. Indicates an excellent response with detailed supporting evidence and no weaknesses resulting in a high level of confidence.

3.1.2 Each mark achieved will be multiplied by the corresponding weighting to provide an overall question score.

3.1.3 When the score for each question has been determined they will be added together to provide an overall score for the Quality Evaluation (“Quality Score”).

3.2 Consensus Marking Procedure

3.2.1 The Consensus Marking Procedure is a two-step process, comprising of:

- 3.2.1.1 Independent evaluation; and
- 3.2.1.2 Group consensus marking.

3.2.2 During the independent evaluation process, each evaluator will separately (i.e. without conferring with other evaluators) scrutinise the quality of answers given by Potential Providers in their Tender. Each evaluator will then allocate a mark for the answer in accordance with the Marking Scheme applicable to that question.

OFFICIAL
Appendix B Response Guidance
TETI0022
Safety Helmet Assessment and Rating Programme (SHARP):
Helmet Performance Testing – Phase 4

3.2.3 During the meeting, the evaluators will discuss the independent marks until they reach a consensus regarding the marks that should be attributed to each Potential Providers’ answer to the questions.

3.2.4 Once all quality responses have been evaluated the individual scores attributed to each response will be added together to provide a ‘Quality Score’.

3.3 Price Evaluation Process

3.3.1 Potential Providers’ are required to provide a completed pricing schedule against the ‘Price’ Questionnaire submitted to the AWARD e-portal.

3.3.2 The Potential Provider with the lowest price shall be awarded the Maximum Score Available. The remaining Potential Providers shall be awarded a percentage of the Maximum Score Available equal to their price, relative to the lowest price submitted.

3.3.3 The calculation used is the following:

3.3.4 =
$$\frac{\text{Lowest Price Tendered}}{\text{Tender price}} \times \text{Maximum Score Available}$$

Potential Provider	Price Submitted	Score Calculation	Maximum Score Available	Score Awarded
Potential Provider A	£1,000	$\frac{£1,000}{£1,000} * 100$	100	100
Potential Provider B	£2,000	$\frac{£1,000}{£2,000} * 100$	100	50
Potential Provider C	£2,500	$\frac{£1,000}{£2,500} * 100$	100	40

3.4 Final score

3.4.1 The Quality Score will be added to the Price Score to determine the final score for each Potential Provider (“Final Score”)

4 EVALUATION CRITERIA

4.1 Questionnaires 1 and 2 contain ‘Pass/Fail’ questions and act as a doorway for progression to the following stages of the evaluation. Potential Providers are strongly advised to read and understand the specific guidance provided before responding to these questionnaires.

OFFICIAL
Appendix B Response Guidance
TET10022
Safety Helmet Assessment and Rating Programme (SHARP):
Helmet Performance Testing – Phase 4

- 4.2 Questionnaire 3 is for information only. Although this questionnaire does not form part of the evaluation process, Potential Providers are advised to complete it in full as any omissions could affect the award process.
- 4.3 The Authority and its Agent reserve the right to challenge any information provided in response to Questionnaire 3 and request further information in support of any statements made therein.

QUESTIONNAIRE 1 – KEY PARTICIPATION REQUIREMENTS			
GUIDANCE	The following questions are ‘Pass/Fail’ questions. If Potential Providers are unwilling or unable to answer “Yes”, their submission will be deemed non-compliant and shall be rejected. Potential Providers should confirm their answer by selecting the appropriate option from the drop down menu.		
Question Number	Question	Max Score	Weighting (%)
1.1	Have you read, understood and accepted the Invitation to Tender and all associated appendices, specifically Appendix A, Statement of Requirements?	Pass/Fail	N/A
1.2	Do you agree, without caveats or limitations, that in the event that you are successful all information gathered during the execution of this contract shall remain confidential and the sole property of the Department for Transport, and that the Department for Transport Terms and Conditions will govern this contract?	Pass/Fail	N/A
1.3	Please indicate when you will anticipate being able to carry out the start-up tests specified in Appendix A, noting that start-up tests are expected to be completed within week 5 of Contract Award.	Pass/Fail	N/A

QUESTIONNAIRE 2 – CONFLICTS OF INTEREST	
GUIDANCE	Question 2.1 is a ‘Yes/No’ question and will dictate whether or not question 2.2 needs to be answered. Question 2.2 is a Pass / Fail question. Potential Providers are required to provide details of how the identified conflict will be mitigated. The Contracting Authority will review the mitigation in line with the perceived conflict of interest, to determine what level of risk this poses to them. Therefore if Potential Providers cannot or are unwilling to suitably demonstrate that they have suitable safeguards to

OFFICIAL
Appendix B Response Guidance
TETI0022
Safety Helmet Assessment and Rating Programme (SHARP):
Helmet Performance Testing – Phase 4

	mitigate any risk then their Tender will be deemed non-compliant and will be rejected.		
Question Number	Question	Max Score	Weighting (%)
2.1	Please confirm whether you have any potential, actual or perceived conflicts of interest that may be relevant to this requirement.	None	N/A
2.2	We require that any potential, actual or perceived conflicts of interest in respect of this ITT are identified in writing and that companies outline what safeguards would be put in place to mitigate the risk of actual or perceived conflicts arising during the delivery of these services.	Pass/Fail	N/A

QUESTIONNAIRE 3 – INFORMATION ONLY

GUIDANCE	The following questions are for information only and do not form part of the evaluation. Information provided in response to these questions may be used in preparation of any Contract Award and any omissions may delay completion of this Tender exercise.		
Question Number	Question	Max Score	Weighting (%)
3.1	<p>What are your details:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Name (registered name if registered) ● Office address (registered address if registered) ● Website address (if applicable) ● Date of registration (if applicable) or date of formation ● Registration number (company, partnership, charity etc.) if applicable ● DUNS number (of head office, if applicable) ● VAT number 	None	N/A
[3.2]	<p>What is your trading status:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Public limited company ● Limited company 	None	N/A

OFFICIAL

OFFICIAL
Appendix B Response Guidance
TET10022
Safety Helmet Assessment and Rating Programme (SHARP):
Helmet Performance Testing – Phase 4

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Limited liability partnership ● Other partnership ● Sole trader ● Third sector Other		
3.3	Please confirm whether your organisation is an SME as defined within EU recommendation 2003/361	None	N/A
	Please provide details of where the Award Outcome should be directed. Your response must include their; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Full Name ● Role/Title ● Registered Address ● Email Address 	None	N/A
3.4	Please provide details of any sub-contractors you propose to use in order to meet your obligations should you be awarded a Contract. Your response must include their; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Trading Name(s) ● Registered Address(es) and contact details ● Goods/Services to be provided NB - the Contracting Authority will approve/reject suggested subcontractors and may require further information prior to making a decision	None	N/A

4.4 The following Quality/Service Delivery Questionnaires are designed to test Potential Providers' ability to deliver the requirement as set out in Appendix A, Statement of Requirements. Potential Providers *MUST* answer all Quality/Service Delivery questions.

4.5 All quality responses for the below are expected to be in a single PDF format. Returns for the below Questionnaire's 4,5 and 6 will **not exceed 30 pages**. Any appendices (e.g. CV's) are not included within this page limit.

4.6 Potential Providers must achieve **the minimum acceptable Quality Score, as described for each of the questions below**. Only those responses which achieve this threshold will

OFFICIAL

OFFICIAL
Appendix B Response Guidance
TET10022
Safety Helmet Assessment and Rating Programme (SHARP):
Helmet Performance Testing – Phase 4

move onto the Price Evaluation Process. The bids that do not make this threshold are rejected and therefore unsuccessful.

4.7 Potential providers will be marked in accordance with the marking scheme at Section 3.

QUESTIONNAIRE 4 – ABILITY TO DELIVER REQUIREMENTS AND METHODOLOGY		Weighting – 35 %		
All Potential Providers MUST answer ALL the following questions				
Question Number	Question	Minimum Acceptable Score	Maximum Available Score	Weighting 100%
4.1	<p>Please set out your proposed methodology for delivery of the requirements set out in Appendix B - Statement of Requirements. Sufficient detail should be included to demonstrate that all the Department’s requirements have been fully understood.</p> <p>Your response should cover the following;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • technical capability and understanding of the test protocol and testing requirements • technical experience of testing helmets to standards and regulations • in-house testing equipment and facilities • capability to support instrument calibration and maintenance of equipment to reduce downtime • quality control management systems 	50	100	75 %

OFFICIAL
Appendix B Response Guidance
TET10022
Safety Helmet Assessment and Rating Programme (SHARP):
Helmet Performance Testing – Phase 4

4.2	Please set out the status of your organisation and describe its testing facilities.	50	100	15 %
4.3	Please provide details of any relevant experience your organisation has of laboratory impact test work. This should highlight any work relating to the certification of helmets or granting approvals (this may relate to helmets other than motorcycle helmets).	50	100	10 %

QUESTIONNAIRE 5 – MANAGEMENT AND PROPOSED STAFF					Weighting – 20 %
All Potential Providers MUST answer ALL the following questions					
Question Number	Question	Minimum Acceptable Score	Maximum Available Score	Weighting 100%	
5.1	Please describe the proposed management system including the roles and responsibilities of individuals allocated to this contract. No CV's necessary.	50	100	30 %	
5.2	Please provide details of the expertise and experience of staff proposed for this contract. CVs may be included separately to provide additional details.	75	100	60 %	
5.3	Please describe the process that would be used for informing the Department of progress and the scheduling of tasks.	50	100	10 %	

OFFICIAL
Appendix B Response Guidance
TET10022
Safety Helmet Assessment and Rating Programme (SHARP):
Helmet Performance Testing – Phase 4

QUESTIONNAIRE 6 – QUALITY ASSURANCE AND RISK			Weighting – 10 %	
Question Number	Question	Minimum Acceptable Score	Maximum Available Score	Weighting 100%
6.1	Please describe the quality assurance processes that will ensure the robustness and accuracy of the data.	50	100	50 %
6.2	Please identify then describe your approach to managing any risks to the successful delivery of the Department's requirement.	50	100	30 %
6.3	Please describe your process that will ensure that helmets are to be disposed of in a manner that minimises the impact on the environment and to ensure that there is no possibility of them entering into use.	50	100	20 %

QUESTIONNAIRE 7 – PRICE		Weighting – 35 %
GUIDANCE	<p>Potential Providers must upload the price schedule set out in Annex C at the question level on the AWARD e-portal.</p> <p>Prices should be submitted in Pounds Sterling inclusive of any expenses but exclusive of VAT.</p> <p>Potential Providers will be marked in accordance with the marking scheme at Section 3.</p>	
Question Number	Question	Max Score
[7.1]	Please confirm, by selecting 'YES' that you have attached a completed Price Schedule to the response to this question. In so doing, you are also confirming that prices offered are inclusive of any expenses, exclusive of VAT and firm for a period of 90 days following the Deadline for Submission.	100