

Invitation to Quote

Invitation to Quote (ITQ) on behalf of the Low Pay Commission (LPC)

**Subject An assessment of what factors affect young people's
decisions to enter the labour market**

Sourcing reference number CR18027



UK Shared Business Services Ltd (UK SBS)
www.uksbs.co.uk

Registered in England and Wales as a limited company. Company Number 6330639.
Registered Office Polaris House, North Star Avenue, Swindon, Wiltshire SN2 1FF
VAT registration GB618 3673 25
Copyright (c) UK Shared Business Services Ltd. 2014

Table of Contents

Section	Content
1	<u>About UK Shared Business Services Ltd.</u>
2	<u>About the Contracting Authority</u>
3	<u>Working with the Contracting Authority.</u>
4	<u>Specification</u>
5	<u>Evaluation model</u>
6	<u>Evaluation questionnaire</u>
7	<u>General Information</u>

Appendix

Section 1 – About UK Shared Business Services

Putting the business into shared services

UK Shared Business Services Ltd (UK SBS) brings a commercial attitude to the public sector; helping Contracting Authorities improve efficiency, generate savings and modernise.

It is our vision to become the leading service provider for Contracting Authorities for of shared business services in the UK public sector, continuously reducing cost and improving quality of business services for Government and the public sector.

Our broad range of expert services is shared by our Contracting Authorities. This allows Contracting Authorities the freedom to focus resources on core activities; innovating and transforming their own organisations.

Core services include Procurement, Finance, Grants Admissions, Human Resources, Payroll, ISS, and Property Asset Management all underpinned by our Service Delivery and Contact Centre teams.

UK SBS is a people rather than task focused business. It's what makes us different to the traditional transactional shared services centre. What is more, being a not-for-profit organisation owned by the Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy (BEIS), UK SBS' goals are aligned with the public sector and delivering best value for the UK taxpayer.

UK Shared Business Services Ltd changed its name from RCUK Shared Services Centre Ltd in March 2013.

Our Customers

Growing from a foundation of supporting the Research Councils, 2012/13 saw Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy (BEIS) transition their procurement to UK SBS and Crown Commercial Services (CCS – previously Government Procurement Service) agree a Memorandum of Understanding with UK SBS to deliver two major procurement categories (construction and research) across Government.

UK SBS currently manages £700m expenditure for its Contracting Authorities.

Our Contracting Authorities who have access to our services and Contracts are detailed [here](#).

Section 2 – About the Contracting Authority

Low Pay Commission (LPC)

The Low Pay Commission (LPC) is an independent public body (sponsored by The Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy: BEIS) that advises the Government about the National Minimum Wage. There are 9 Low Pay Commissioners drawn from a range of employee, employer and academic backgrounds. All the commissioners serve in an individual capacity. They are supported by a small secretariat, which has 8 members of staff.

The Low Pay Commission makes evidence-based recommendations based on:

- commissioned and independent research;
- analysis of relevant data regarding the state of the economy and the impact of the minimum wage;
- consultations with employers, workers and their representatives;
- written and oral evidence from a wide range of organisations; and
- fact-finding visits across the UK to meet employers, employees and representative organisations.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/low-pay-commission>

Section 3 - Working with the Contracting Authority.

In this section you will find details of your Procurement contact point and the timescales relating to this opportunity.

Section 3 – Contact details		
3.1	Contracting Authority Name and address	Low Pay Commission (LPC) 8 th Floor Fleetbank House 2-6 Salisbury Square London EC4Y 8JX
3.2	Buyer name	Jenny Stratton
3.3	Buyer contact details	Research@uksbs.co.uk
3.4	Maximum Value of the Opportunity	Up to £30,000.00 excluding VAT
3.5	Process for the submission of clarifications and Bids	All correspondence shall be submitted within the Emptoris e-sourcing tool. Guidance Notes to support the use of Emptoris is available here. Please note submission of a Bid to any email address including the Buyer <u>will</u> result in the Bid <u>not</u> being considered.

Section 3 - Timescales		
3.6	Date of Issue of Contract Advert and location of original Advert	Wednesday 7 th February 2018 Location: Contracts Finder
3.7	Latest date/time ITQ clarification questions shall be received through Emptoris messaging system	Thursday 15 th February 2018 14:00hrs
3.8	Latest date/time ITQ clarification answers should be sent to all Bidders by the Buyer through Emptoris	Tuesday 20 th February 2018
3.9	Latest date/time ITQ Bid shall be submitted through Emptoris	Monday 26 th February 2018 14.00hrs
3.10	Anticipated selection and the selections of Bids notification date	Tuesday 6 th March 2018
3.11	Anticipated Award date	Tuesday 6 th March 2018
3.12	Anticipated Contract Start date	Monday 12 th March 2018
3.13	Anticipated Contract End date	Friday 30 th November 2018

3.14	Bid Validity Period	60 Days
------	---------------------	---------

Section 4 – Specification

1. Background

The Low Pay Commission (LPC) invites tenders for research to assess the factors that affect the labour market decisions of young people aged 16–24. We are particularly interested in whether these factors have been affected by the recent change to the minimum wage framework as a result of the introduction in April 2016 of the National Living Wage for those aged 25 and over, and the 21-24 Year Old Rate. We are also interested in the wider labour market and educational policy framework for young people and how it differs to that which existed when the National Minimum Wage was introduced in 1999 and when the 16-17 Year Old Rate was introduced in 2004.

This research will inform the LPC's review of the youth rates that will look at the current youth minimum wage rates (for 16–17 year olds, 18–20 year olds and 21-24 year olds) relative to each other and to the NLW. It will also consider their scope. The LPC is interested in determining how the level of wages affects decisions to participate in education, training and employment (both full and part-time).

The LPC has emphasised in its reports that it did not wish to encourage young people to leave education or training positions, nor to harm their employment or training opportunities, and therefore needed to consider further the relationship between any minimum wage and education policy to determine the appropriate level at which the rates should be set. This investigation should take place in the context of a wider review looking at education and training policy, and the system of financial support for young people.

The LPC has an ongoing remit to monitor the operation of the UK minimum wage, and to assess the impact of increases in the levels of the NMW and NLW in order to advise the Government on future rates. An important part of assessing the impact of the minimum wage is to examine the effect on the labour market, and whether these impacts vary by age.

The introduction of the NLW on 1 April 2016 at £7.20 an hour for those aged 25 and above was a sharp real and relative increase in the level of the minimum wage – 10.8 per cent in nominal terms on the year previously (around four times pay growth at the median). Since then, as part of the Government commitment to move towards 60 per cent of median

earnings by 2020, the NLW was further increased by 4.2 per cent to £7.50 an hour in April 2017 and will increase by a further 4.4 per cent in April 2018 to £7.83.

In contrast, the youth rates of the minimum wage have not increased as quickly. Indeed, increases in the youth rates of the minimum wage have been much smaller than the increases for older workers since the end of the recession. This had led to a widening in the relativities between the youth rates and the NLW. However, the latest recommendations by the Low Pay Commission (2017) were for larger increases in the minimum wage for 18-24 year olds than for older workers in April 2018.

This year, we are also conducting a review of the youth rates, so we are also particularly interested in the factors that affect the labour market choices of young workers. Other commissioned research projects will look at the impact on the earnings, employment and hours of young people.

It is important that the Commission has robust evidence on the effect of the minimum wage framework on young people to inform its recommendations and provide further insights for its review. The findings of the review will directly affect the lives of thousands of young people.

Previous research has broadly established that incremental increases in the minimum wage can raise pay at the bottom of the pay distribution without large adverse side effects on the labour market but that young people may be more sensitive, particularly during periods of downturn. The advent of the NLW and the implied increase in relativities between the NLW and the youth rates is an opportunity to test whether this remains the case for larger increases in the NLW or whether the NLW provides cover for larger increases in the youth rates. There is global interest among policymakers and analysts in the impact of the NLW and the treatment of young people. The findings from this research will directly inform policy decisions touching the lives of thousands of young workers as well as contributing to policy formation in the UK and beyond.

2. Aims and Objectives of the Project

The Low Pay Commission (LPC) invites tenders for research to assess the factors that affect the labour market decisions of young people aged 16–24. We are particularly interested in whether these factors have been affected by the recent change to the minimum wage framework as a result of the introduction in April 2016 of the National Living Wage for those aged 25 and over, and the 21-24 Year Old Rate.

We are also interested in the policy framework for young people and how it differs to that which existed when the National Minimum Wage was introduced in 1999 and when the 16-17 Year Old Rate was introduced in 2004. In addition, we are interested in how this policy framework for young people varies across the UK. While the minimum wage applies to all four countries of the UK, the structure of education and training varies between them. The recent changes to the education participation age was confined to England. In its annual reports, the LPC has pointed to the increasing proportion of young people in full-time education. This has implications for the labour market. The LPC will need to take account of the different education and training policies and, as far as possible, is looking to commission research covering all the countries in the UK.

As part of its review of youth rates, the LPC would look to examine the relationship between wages, employment, hours worked and participation in education and training, as well as the factors that affect unemployment and inactivity. Other commissioned research will look at the impact of the minimum wage regime on earnings, employment and hours. This research will consider the choices of young people while other related research will consider the issue from an employer's perspective.

This investigation should take place in the context of a wider review looking at education and training policy, and the system of financial and other support for young people. The aim of this study is to investigate the link between the level of wages and the decision to undertake work, training (including apprenticeships) or study for young people.

Where possible the study should identify whether attitudes and/or behaviours vary between key groups of young people, for example: those in full-time education (working and not working); those not in full-time education (working and not working); and those not in education, employment or training (NEET). There is also interest in differences by age group, gender, qualifications, region or family background.

Specifically the study should:

- Give an overview of the policy framework in the four countries of the UK. This will include the system of financial and other support available to young people as well as education and training policy in the four nations;
- Investigate any impact of introduction of the 21-24 Year Old Rate and the NLW;

- Identify the main determinants in the decision to participate in the labour market, education or training, and the importance attached to the level of wages and the level of the appropriate minimum wage;
- Explore, if possible, whether these factors are more important for some groups of young people than others;
- Investigate whether the level of minimum wages affects the proportion of young people who are inactive, and not in education or training; and
- Explore any implications for the minimum wage from the raising of the participation age in England to 18.

The LPC invites tenders for research that will provide findings by September 2018.

Information about previous LPC research projects is available on our website at <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/low-pay-commission-research-2017>

Detailed descriptive analysis of the NLW is available in Chapter 2 of our 2017 Report <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-minimum-wage-low-pay-commission-report-2017>

3. Suggested Methodology

Potential contractors are free to use whatever method they consider most appropriate but it is essential that the methodology and analysis stands up to external scrutiny by professional academics, economists, statisticians and analysts.

Previous quantitative research studies on this topic include De Coulon, Meschi, Swaffield, Vignoles and Wadsworth, 2010, and Crawford, Greaves, Jin, Swaffield and Vignoles, 2011. Alternative approaches would also be welcome.

Potential contractors should specify the techniques that they intend to employ. Tenders should also state which data are to be used, and give some indication of their strengths and limitations and in particular whether the available data will restrict the analysis in any way.

Potential approaches include econometric or descriptive analysis of existing data sources, descriptive analysis of bespoke surveys with young people or qualitative research with young people. Appropriate quantitative data sources for this type of analysis might be

Understanding Society, the Labour Force Survey and the Annual Survey of Hours and Earnings. The tender should outline the time period of the data to be analysed.

Qualitative approaches could use focus groups or case studies of young people to explore the factors associated with the labour market choice of young people, identified in previous research and from the policy framework, in more depth.

4. Deliverables

The initial primary audience for this work will be the LPC. The main output of the study will be a report, detailing the aims and objectives of the research, the methodology adopted and the main findings. The report should include a brief non-technical Executive Summary. An electronic copy (preferably Word and pdf format) for the LPC website will be required. The LPC will make the findings publicly available, and a synopsis of the report will be included in the Low Pay Commission's 2018 Report.

In addition, there would be other deliverables expected. These should include:

- A methodology and scoping paper, including questionnaires if applicable;
- Regular updates on emerging findings and project progress;
- An interim report;
- A draft final report;

- A final report incorporating comments from LPC;

- Two presentations of the key aspects of the work (at the research workshops in April and September 2018 detailed below); and
- Key datasets and syntax files (if quantitative analysis is conducted).

The timescale for delivery of the research is flexible according to the needs of the project, but we would be looking in the first instance for a presentation on data sources and methodology at the technical research workshop on 12 April 2018, a detailed methodology paper by 30 June 2018, an interim report by 24 August 2018, with findings for a presentation to the 6th Annual LPC Research Symposium on 6 September 2018 and a draft final report by 28 September 2018. A final paper would be required by 30 October 2018. Project management is likely to include attendance at an inception meeting at LPC, and review meetings as required.

5. Timescales

Date of Issue to all Bidders (live on Framework / Contracts Finder via Emptoris)	07 February 2018
Deadline for submission	26 February 2018
Project Timescales	
Initial meeting	w/c 12 March 2018 (provisional)
Presentation at research workshop	12 April 2018
Methodology paper and initial findings	30 June 2018
Interim report	24 August 2018
Presentation of methodology and emerging findings at the Sixth Annual LPC Research Symposium	6 September 2018
Draft final report	28 September 2018
Agreed final report	26 October 2018
Publication	November/December 2018

Terms and Conditions

Bidders are to note that any requested modifications to the Contracting Authority Terms and Conditions on the grounds of statutory and legal matters only, shall be raised as a formal clarification during the permitted clarification period.

Section 5 – Evaluation model

The evaluation model below shall be used for this ITQ, which will be determined to two decimal places.

Where a question is 'for information only' it will not be scored.

The evaluation team may comprise staff from UK SBS, and the Contracting Authority ----- and any specific external stakeholders the Contracting Authority deems required. After evaluation the scores will be finalised by performing a calculation to identify (at question level) the mean average of all evaluators (Example – a question is scored by three evaluators and judged as scoring 5, 5 and 6. These scores will be added together and divided by the number of evaluators to produce the final score of 5.33 ($5+5+6 = 16 \div 3 = 5.33$))

Pass / fail criteria		
Questionnaire	Q No.	Question subject
Commercial	SEL1.2	Employment breaches/ Equality
Commercial	SEL3.11	Compliance to Section 54 of the Modern Slavery Act
Commercial	FOI1.1	Freedom of Information Exemptions
Commercial	AW1.1	Form of Bid
Commercial	AW1.3	Certificate of Bona Fide Bid
Commercial	AW3.1	Validation check
Commercial	AW4.1	Contract Terms – Part 1
Commercial	AW4.2	Contract Terms – Part 2
Price	AW5.1	Maximum Budget
Price	AW5.5	E Invoicing
Price	AW5.6	Implementation of E-Invoicing
Quality	AW6.1	Compliance to the Specification
-	-	Invitation to Quote – received on time within e-sourcing tool

Scoring criteria			
Evaluation Justification Statement			
In consideration of this particular requirement the Contracting Authority has decided to evaluate Potential Providers by adopting the weightings/scoring mechanism detailed within this ITQ. The Contracting Authority considers these weightings to be in line with existing best practice for a requirement of this type.			
Questionnaire	Q No.	Question subject	Maximum Marks
Price	AW5.2	Price	20%
Quality	PROJ1.1	Understanding the requirements and context	25%
Quality	PROJ1.2	Methodology and approach	30%
Quality	PROJ1.3	Ability to deliver	25%

Evaluation of criteria

Non-Price elements

Each question will be judged on a score from 0 to 100, which shall be subjected to a multiplier to reflect the percentage of the evaluation criteria allocated to that question.

Where an evaluation criterion is worth 20% then the 0-100 score achieved will be multiplied by 20%.

Example if a Bidder scores 60 from the available 100 points this will equate to 12% by using the following calculation:

$$\text{Score} = \{\text{weighting percentage}\} \times \{\text{bidder's score}\} = 20\% \times 60 = 12$$

The same logic will be applied to groups of questions which equate to a single evaluation criterion.

The 0-100 score shall be based on (unless otherwise stated within the question):

0	The Question is not answered or the response is completely unacceptable.
10	Extremely poor response – they have completely missed the point of the question.
20	Very poor response and not wholly acceptable. Requires major revision to the response to make it acceptable. Only partially answers the requirement, with major deficiencies and little relevant detail proposed.
40	Poor response only partially satisfying the selection question requirements with deficiencies apparent. Some useful evidence provided but response falls well short of expectations. Low probability of being a capable supplier.
60	Response is acceptable but remains basic and could have been expanded upon. Response is sufficient but does not inspire.
80	Good response which describes their capabilities in detail which provides high levels of assurance consistent with a quality provider. The response includes a full description of techniques and measurements currently employed.
100	Response is exceptional and clearly demonstrates they are capable of meeting the requirement. No significant weaknesses noted. The response is compelling in its description of techniques and measurements currently employed, providing full assurance consistent with a quality provider.

All questions will be scored based on the above mechanism. Please be aware that the final score returned may be different as there may be multiple evaluators and their individual scores will be averaged (mean) to determine your final score.

Example

Evaluator 1 scored your bid as 60

Evaluator 2 scored your bid as 60

Evaluator 3 scored your bid as 40

Evaluator 4 scored your bid as 40

Your final score will $(60+60+40+40) \div 4 = 50$

Price elements will be judged on the following criteria.

The lowest price for a response which meets the pass criteria shall score 100.

All other bids shall be scored on a pro rata basis in relation to the lowest price. The score is then subject to a multiplier to reflect the percentage value of the price criterion.

For example - Bid 1 £100,000 scores 100.

Bid 2 £120,000 differential of £20,000 or 20% remove 20% from price scores 80

Bid 3 £150,000 differential £50,000 remove 50% from price scores 50.

Bid 4 £175,000 differential £75,000 remove 75% from price scores 25.

Bid 5 £200,000 differential £100,000 remove 100% from price scores 0.

Bid 6 £300,000 differential £200,000 remove 100% from price scores 0.

Where the scoring criterion is worth 50% then the 0-100 score achieved will be multiplied by 50.

In the example if a supplier scores 80 from the available 100 points this will equate to 40% by using the following calculation: Score/Total Points multiplied by 50 ($80/100 \times 50 = 40$)

The lowest score possible is 0 even if the price submitted is more than 100% greater than the lowest price.

Section 6 – Evaluation questionnaire

Bidders should note that the evaluation questionnaire is located within the **e-sourcing questionnaire**.

Guidance on completion of the questionnaire is available at
<http://www.uksbs.co.uk/services/procure/Pages/supplier.aspx>

PLEASE NOTE THE QUESTIONS ARE NOT NUMBERED SEQUENTIALLY

Section 7 – General Information

What makes a good bid – some simple do's 😊

DO:

- 7.1 Do comply with Procurement document instructions. Failure to do so may lead to disqualification.
- 7.2 Do provide the Bid on time, and in the required format. Remember that the date/time given for a response is the last date that it can be accepted; we are legally bound to disqualify late submissions. Unless formally requested to do so by UK SBS e.g. Emptoris system failure
- 7.3 Do ensure you have read all the training materials to utilise e-sourcing tool prior to responding to this Bid. If you send your Bid by email or post it will be rejected.
- 7.4 Do use Microsoft Word, PowerPoint Excel 97-03 or compatible formats, or PDF unless agreed in writing by the Buyer. If you use another file format without our written permission we may reject your Bid.
- 7.5 Do ensure you utilise the Emptoris messaging system to raise any clarifications to our ITQ. You should note that we will release the answer to the question to all Bidders and where we suspect the question contains confidential information we may modify the content of the question to protect the anonymity of the Bidder or their proposed solution
- 7.6 Do answer the question, it is not enough simply to cross-reference to a 'policy', web page or another part of your Bid, the evaluation team have limited time to assess bids and if they can't find the answer, they can't score it.
- 7.7 Do consider who the Contracting Authority is and what they want – a generic answer does not necessarily meet every Contracting Authority's needs.
- 7.8 Do reference your documents correctly, specifically where supporting documentation is requested e.g. referencing the question/s they apply to.
- 7.9 Do provide clear, concise and ideally generic contact details; telephone numbers, e-mails and fax details.
- 7.10 Do complete all questions in the questionnaire or we may reject your Bid.
- 7.11 Do check and recheck your Bid before dispatch.

What makes a good bid – some simple do not's

DO NOT

- 7.12 Do not cut and paste from a previous document and forget to change the previous details such as the previous buyer's name.
- 7.13 Do not attach 'glossy' brochures that have not been requested, they will not be read unless we have asked for them. Only send what has been requested and only send supplementary information if we have offered the opportunity so to do.
- 7.14 Do not share the Procurement documents, they are confidential and should not be shared with anyone without the Buyers written permission.
- 7.15 Do not seek to influence the procurement process by requesting meetings or contacting UK SBS or the Contracting Authority to discuss your Bid. If your Bid requires clarification the Buyer will contact you. All information secured outside of formal Buyer communications shall have no Legal standing or worth and should not be relied upon.
- 7.16 Do not contact any UK SBS staff or the Contracting Authority staff without the Buyers written permission or we may reject your Bid.
- 7.17 Do not collude to fix or adjust the price or withdraw your Bid with another Party as we will reject your Bid.
- 7.18 Do not offer UK SBS or the Contracting Authority staff any inducement or we will reject your Bid.
- 7.19 Do not seek changes to the Bid after responses have been submitted and the deadline for Bids to be submitted has passed.
- 7.20 Do not cross reference answers to external websites or other parts of your Bid, the cross references and website links will not be considered.
- 7.21 Do not exceed word counts, the additional words will not be considered.
- 7.22 Do not make your Bid conditional on acceptance of your own Terms of Contract, as your Bid will be rejected.

Some additional guidance notes

- 7.23 All enquiries with respect to access to the e-sourcing tool and problems with functionality within the tool must be submitted to Crown Commercial Service (previously Government Procurement Service), Telephone 0345 010 3503.
- 7.24 Bidders will be specifically advised where attachments are permissible to support a question response within the e-sourcing tool. Where they are not permissible any attachments submitted will not be considered as part of the evaluation process.
- 7.25 Question numbering is not sequential and all questions which require submission are included in the Section 6 Evaluation Questionnaire.
- 7.26 Any Contract offered may not guarantee any volume of work or any exclusivity of supply.
- 7.27 We do not guarantee to award any Contract as a result of this procurement
- 7.28 All documents issued or received in relation to this procurement shall be the property of the Contracting Authority. / UKSBS.
- 7.29 We can amend any part of the procurement documents at any time prior to the latest date / time Bids shall be submitted through Emptoris.
- 7.30 If you are a Consortium you must provide details of the Consortiums structure.
- 7.31 Bidders will be expected to comply with the Freedom of Information Act 2000 or your Bid will be rejected.
- 7.32 Bidders should note the Government's transparency agenda requires your Bid and any Contract entered into to be published on a designated, publicly searchable web site. By submitting a response to this ITQ Bidders are agreeing that their Bid and Contract may be made public
- 7.33 Your bid will be valid for 60 days or your Bid will be rejected.
- 7.34 Bidders may only amend the contract terms during the clarification period only, only if you can demonstrate there is a legal or statutory reason why you cannot accept them. If you request changes to the Contract terms without such grounds and the Contracting Authority fail to accept your legal or statutory reason is reasonably justified we may reject your Bid.
- 7.35 We will let you know the outcome of your Bid evaluation and where requested will provide a written debrief of the relative strengths and weaknesses of your Bid.
- 7.36 If you fail mandatory pass / fail criteria we will reject your Bid.
- 7.37 Bidders are required to use IE8, IE9, Chrome or Firefox in order to access the functionality of the Emptoris e-sourcing tool.
- 7.38 Bidders should note that if they are successful with their proposal the Contracting Authority reserves the right to ask additional compliancy checks prior to the award of

any Contract. In the event of a Bidder failing to meet one of the compliancy checks the Contracting Authority may decline to proceed with the award of the Contract to the successful Bidder.

- 7.39 All timescales are set using a 24 hour clock and are based on British Summer Time or Greenwich Mean Time, depending on which applies at the point when Date and Time Bids shall be submitted through Emptoris.
- 7.40 All Central Government Departments and their Executive Agencies and Non Departmental Public Bodies are subject to control and reporting within Government. In particular, they report to the Cabinet Office and HM Treasury for all expenditure. Further, the Cabinet Office has a cross-Government role delivering overall Government policy on public procurement - including ensuring value for money and related aspects of good procurement practice.

For these purposes, the Contracting Authority may disclose within Government any of the Bidders documentation/information (including any that the Bidder considers to be confidential and/or commercially sensitive such as specific bid information) submitted by the Bidder to the Contracting Authority during this Procurement. The information will not be disclosed outside Government. Bidders taking part in this ITQ consent to these terms as part of the competition process.

- 7.41 The Government is introducing its new Government Security Classifications (GSC) classification scheme on the 2nd April 2014 to replace the current Government Protective Marking System (GPMS). A key aspect of this is the reduction in the number of security classifications used. All Bidders are encouraged to make themselves aware of the changes and identify any potential impacts in their Bid, as the protective marking and applicable protection of any material passed to, or generated by, you during the procurement process or pursuant to any Contract awarded to you as a result of this tender process will be subject to the new GSC . The link below to the Gov.uk website provides information on the new GSC:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/government-security-classifications>

The Contracting Authority reserves the right to amend any security related term or condition of the draft contract accompanying this ITQ to reflect any changes introduced by the GSC. In particular where this ITQ is accompanied by any instructions on safeguarding classified information (e.g. a Security Aspects Letter) as a result of any changes stemming from the new GSC, whether in respect of the applicable protective marking scheme, specific protective markings given, the aspects to which any protective marking applies or otherwise. This may relate to the instructions on safeguarding classified information (e.g. a Security Aspects Letter) as they apply to the procurement as they apply to the procurement process and/or any contracts awarded to you as a result of the procurement process.

USEFUL INFORMATION LINKS

- [Emptoris Training Guide](#)
- [Emptoris e-sourcing tool](#)
- [Contracts Finder](#)
- [Equalities Act introduction](#)
- [Bribery Act introduction](#)
- [Freedom of information Act](#)