

RFI004 Maritime DETECT for Uncrewed Aerial Vehicles (UAV) – Clarification Log

Clarification Question #	Received Date	Questions	Reply Date	Answers
1	05/01/2021	...regarding the reference ' Warship Class'. Does this mean a specific warship class or do you wish the response to include all RN warship classes?	12/01/2021	QEC/LPD/T45/T23/OPV/MCM and P2000 – all classes.
2	05/01/2021	Could you also provide further clarification as to how you would like the following query presented? The authority wishes to understand how a DETECT solution could operate in the Maritime domain and the expected performance across each of the Warship classes against each class of UAV in Table 1.	12/01/2021	In as simple format as possible. This could be a table of expected detection performance (in particular angular resolution and range), based against the differing UAV classes and at different heights of UAV (if possible). However, the vendor is free to explain in any format deemed appropriate.
3	06/01/2021	The RFI mentions “mapping product capabilities for UAV class detection vs product viability against each warship class”. Do you have a list of warship classes that you'd like us to cover?	12/01/2021	As per response to Q1: QEC/LPD/T45/T23/OPV/MCM and P2000 – all classes.
4	11/01/2021	In order to help frame our response I would be grateful if you had any information on the likely timeline for a demonstration at sea, as referred to in the RFI.	12/01/2021	The authority seek guidance from suppliers to the minimum amount of time required to achieve a demonstration at sea onboard a warship to highlight the potential performance of a CUAS detect capability. If this is not possible, the authority seeks to understand what could be demonstrated within a 5 day at Sea period.